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Sometimes, the worst happens to a character in a movie or video game or whatever other form of media they’re in. They get bit by a zombie, they get hurt beyond saving but they need someone else to finish them off, or they’re just tired of living after going through horrible situations. This is a list of those people being put down for their own mercy. May contain language. Mercy killing is a concept or a practice where a person who is living an abnormal life or is in a ‘vegetable state’ due to terminal illness (unable to do things which a healthy person can do due to an illness, disease or another factor), is killed legally under law. This is an extremely sensitive legal and social issue as life being extremely precious cannot be decided when to be ended. However, the case of legalizing mercy killing can be understood by two clear perspectives i.e. for and against. For: Law may take steps in certain circumstances to allow euthanasia keeping in mind the ‘Death is the only end for the villain’. I have become a villain, the only daughter of the Eckart family. But the worst part is that no matter what I do, everything only leads me to death! I must get close to one of the men of the heroine harem before the ‘real daughter’ of the Eckart family appears! Two older brothers who always fight with me for nothing. The mad crown prince who ends my life in death. Mercy Killing: What is it? ‘No human being with a spark of pity could let a living thing suffer so, to no good end’ Stewart Alsop stated as he watched another human suffer from a terminal illness [1]. Should we have pity on those suffering without the hope to survive and allow them the dignity to die peacefully? That is the debate. In order to understand the dilemma, one must understand euthanasia in two forms, and ethical theories both for, and against, mercy killing. Euthanasia. They also argue that this type of mercy killing will lead to allowing people to request death if they want to die simply from depression or challenges [1]. However they fail to take into consideration the premise that a person must first be dying of a terminal illness causing severe pain with no hope to live.